

**To:** William Hatfield[whatfield@gibbonslaw.com]; Arnold, Adam C.[AAArnold@gibbonslaw.com]  
**Bcc:** Naranjo, Eugenia[Naranjo.Eugenia@epa.gov]  
**From:** Flanagan, Sarah  
**Sent:** Mon 4/18/2016 7:49:48 PM  
**Subject:** Diamond Alkali - Dioxin Investigation

Bill and Adam,

After looking at your data and presentation, EPA has several follow-up questions.

1. How were the co-eluted furans handled?
2. How were the non-detect samples handled?
3. Are we correct in thinking that two chemicals (2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF and 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF) are switched on different plots? For example, on page 12 the pink line that represents "Clifton Containment Cell (n=20)" has a little peak at 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF, but on slide 8, that peak corresponds to 2,3,4,6,7,8-HxCDF, not 1,2,3,7,8,9-HxCDF.

Finally, we also would like to confirm that, as discussed on March 10, 2016, the Clifton containment cell was resealed subsequent to the August 2015 sampling event, and that Givaudan has no concerns with EPA's post-sampling repairs to the containment cell as described in the report by Denis Newcomer, LSRP, of AMO Environmental Decisions, dated September 22, 2015.

Thanks

-Sarah

Sarah P. Flanagan  
Office of Regional Counsel, NJ Superfund Branch  
USEPA, Region 2  
290 Broadway, 17th Floor  
New York, NY 10007  
Tel: 212-637-3136

This email may contain material that is confidential, privileged and/or attorney work product for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review of, reliance on, or distribution by others or forwarding without the express permission of the sender is strictly prohibited. If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender and delete all copies.